# Interagency Council on Homelessness WORKGROUP: DATA

MINUTES

DATE: 7/6/2017

TIME: 9:30a - 11:30a CST

<b>MEMBER</b>	
<b>ATTENDEES</b>	

Amy McDonald, Jerrisha Tinker, Lisa Higginotham, Jenna Robl, Abigail Dowell

#### Agenda topics

## 1. IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS

DISCUSSION	-Discussed this workgroup focusing on measurements as we move forward to ensure that in future years we have stronger measurements to show - Discussed the need for more partners to participate in this particular workgroup - Information from this workgroup needs to be shared with the CoC group
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS	N/A

#### 2. MEASUREMENT FOCUS

	Objective 3:
DISCUSSION	<ul> <li>Discussed speaking with Mary Wilson from HUD         <ul> <li>Can she give permission to the CoCs to share data before HUD publishes?</li> <li>Is there other information that she receives that we may be able to use?</li> <li>What reports or tracking can they share?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Discussed possibility of asking THDA to encourage CoCs to participate in the providing information for measurements</li> <li>THDA – any data on independent landlords, section 8 numbers. Can they encourage CoCs to share their information with the Council and Data Workgroup?</li> <li>HIC – No section 8 independent land</li> <li>Information from PHAs - How many vouchers returned or expired? If no fully occupied, why not?</li> <li>COC HIC + PIT data – Combine? Goal – 65% occupancy</li> <li>Mem – Landlord database</li> <li>From system performance – Oct 15 – Oct 16 – new to homelessness vs number overall vs number returned. Lisa sending language on measures</li> </ul> <li>Objective 4:         <ul> <li>Once all Coordinated Entry Systems are in place, we may be able to utilize numbers about change in chronic homelessness – mandated by 1/1/18</li> <li>Number placed into permanent supportive housing</li> <li>Discuss with CoCs about baseline and measurement for the year</li> </ul> </li>
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS	N/A

## 3. ACTION STEP REVIEW AND DISCUSSION

DISCUSSION	<ul> <li>1.4 TDMHSAS and Bowman are further in the contracting process. Hopeful for a fall implementation.</li> <li>Concern was expressed by the CoCs regarding how to handle individuals who don't sign the release of information.</li> <li>TDMHSAS has been discussing this concern with the HUD TA and is working on setting</li> </ul>
	up a webinar or training with the CoCs and the TA provider where the CoCs can submit questions ahead of time and the TA provider can share how this is being worked out in New York.

	<ul> <li>7.3</li> <li>Consider how this action step is useful for DOH, TennCare and TDMHSAS. What is needed by these departments, how would this data collection system help?</li> <li>A conversation with TennCare and their MCOs should be had a thte same time as implementing the repository to better understand what they need out of HMIS and how that correlates with the measurements of the Plan.</li> <li>7.4</li> <li>It is important to have better education on both sides, FQHCs and CoCs. Connect with FQHCs regarding a possible education event/meet and greet</li> <li>8.1</li> <li>Once the repository is up, we can look at what we currently have and how DCS and RHY data can work together.</li> </ul>
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS	<ul> <li>Abigail to f/up with Mary Shelton – to speak with MCOs to ask if their collecting any data to indicate an individual's housing status</li> </ul>

ATTACHMENTS -
---------------